

TO: JAMES L. APP, CITY MANAGER

FROM: BOB LATA, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR *Bob*

SUBJECT: REDEVELOPMENT PLAN AMENDMENT
EXTENSION OF EMINENT DOMAIN

DATE: DECEMBER 21, 1999

Needs: For the City Council and Redevelopment Agency to consider a proposed Amendment to the Paso Robles Redevelopment Plan.

- Facts:
1. On October 19, 1999, the City Council and Redevelopment Agency set December 7, 1999 as the date for a Joint Public Hearing to consider a proposed Amendment to the Paso Robles Redevelopment Plan.
 2. The Council and Agency actions were based on recommendations from both the Project Area Committee and the Planning Commission.
 3. At the October 19, 1999 Council meeting, the City Council also adopted a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact with regards to the proposed Amendment.
 4. As required, Legal Notices were published on three separate dates announcing the December 7, 1999 Joint Public Hearing. In addition, Notices were mailed to all property owners within the Redevelopment Project Area, and to the seven taxing agencies with interests in the Project Area.
 5. At the December 7, 1999 public hearing, one written comment was received from Steve Martin. In addition, a subsequent note of opposition was received from Tessie and Lloyd Marty.
 6. At the conclusion of public testimony on December 7, 1999, the Council and Agency continued the open public hearing and consideration of the proposed Amendment to December 21, 1999.

Analysis
and
Conclusion:

The Redevelopment Project is scheduled to be active until 2027. In the absence of an amendment to extend Eminent Domain authority, that authority would expire in November 1999. An extension of the authority would help insure the Agency's ability to carry out the purposes and intent of the Redevelopment Project.

At the December 7, 1999 public hearing, questions were raised regarding why the Agency needs to extend its ability to acquire property through the use of Eminent Domain. As was discussed at the meeting, the Agency's ability to use Eminent Domain includes the following purposes:

- There are tax advantages to a willing seller of property to the Agency if the Agency has the power of Eminent Domain. This is a situation commonly called "friendly condemnation".
- Without the ability to exercise Eminent Domain, the Agency may not be able to assist in property acquisition that is needed to carry out a major economic development effort.

Attached is an Ordinance that would implement the Project Amendment. Also attached is a Resolution with an attachment describing the written comments received to date, along with responses.

If there are no additional written protests filed with regards to the proposed Project Amendment, the City Council can approve First Reading of the Ordinance at the December 21, 1999 Council meeting and set January 4, 2000 as the date for Second Reading and adoption.

If there are any additional written objections, the City is required to provide written responses to the comments received prior to giving First Reading to the Ordinance. The Council can close the public hearing and direct staff to bring back responses to the additional comments for consideration at the January 4, 2000 meeting.

Policy

Reference:

State Health and Safety Code Provisions Regarding Redevelopment; Paso Robles Redevelopment Project

Fiscal

Impact:

None

Options:

- a. If no additional written objections are filed with regards to the proposed Amendment to the Paso Robles Redevelopment Plan, that the City Council and Agency, by separate motions, take the following actions:
 - (1) That the Redevelopment Agency approve a Negative Declaration; and
 - (2) That the City Council approve the attached Resolution describing the comments previously received and responses thereto; and
 - (3) That the City Council give First Reading to the Ordinance and set January 4, 2000 as the date for Second Reading and adoption.
- b. If additional written protests are filed with regards to the proposed Amendment to the Paso Robles Redevelopment Plan, that the Agency and Council close the Joint Public Hearing and continue the proposed adoption of the Ordinance to January 4, 2000.
- c. Amend, modify or reject the foregoing options.

h:\bob\60\cra\99\cc rpt 14b Dec Nov 99

AGENCY RESOLUTION NO. _____

**RESOLUTION OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF
PASO ROBLES APPROVING A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR
AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE PASO ROBLES REDEVELOPMENT
PROJECT**

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Paso Robles (the "Agency") has proposed an Amendment No. 1 (the "Amendment") to the Redevelopment Plan for the Paso Robles Redevelopment Project (the "Project") which would extend the Agency's authority to acquire by eminent domain property within the Redevelopment Project Area for twelve (12) years; and

WHEREAS, an initial study has been made to determine whether the proposed Amendment will have a significant effect on the environment; and

WHEREAS, based on the results of the initial study, a Negative Declaration has been prepared and submitted to and considered by the Agency in connection with the proposed adoption by the City Council of an ordinance adopting the Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has reviewed, considered and determined that the Negative Declaration complies with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 *et seq.*), the Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act (14 Cal. Code Regs. Section 15000 *et seq.*, hereinafter the "State CEQA Guidelines") and local procedures adopted by the Agency pursuant thereto; and

WHEREAS, on December 7, 1999, the Agency and the City Council held a joint public hearing on the proposed Amendment and the Negative Declaration, and the Agency has considered all comments and testimony received pertaining thereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Agency has reviewed and considered the information contained in the Negative Declaration and hereby approves the Negative Declaration and certifies that the Negative Declaration has been completed in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, the State CEQA Guidelines and local procedures adopted by the Agency pursuant thereto, and that the Agency has reviewed and considered the information contained in the Negative Declaration prior to adopting this resolution. The Agency hereby finds that the Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment of the Agency. The documents and other materials that constitute the record of proceedings upon which the decision to adopt the Negative Declaration is based are in the custody of the Executive Director and Secretary of the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Paso Robles, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California 93446.

Section 2. Based upon the Negative Declaration and comments and testimony received pertaining thereto, the Agency hereby finds and determines that the proposed Amendment will not have a significant effect on the environment.

Section 3. Upon approval and adoption of the Amendment by the City Council, the Secretary of the Agency, in cooperation with the City Clerk, is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk of the County of San Luis Obispo, pursuant to the provisions of Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Section 15075 of the State CEQA Guidelines, together with the Certificate of Fee Exemption as required pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 753.5(c).

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of December, 1999, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ATTEST:

Walt Macklin, Chairman

Sharilyn M. Ryan, Secretary

RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES ADOPTING FINDINGS IN RESPONSE TO WRITTEN OBJECTIONS RECEIVED FROM AFFECTED TAXING ENTITIES OR PROPERTY OWNERS ON ADOPTION OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE PASO ROBLES REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

WHEREAS, in accordance with the California Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code Section 33000 et seq.), the Redevelopment Agency of the City of El Paso de Robles (the "Agency") prepared and submitted to the City Council of the City of El Paso de Robles (the "City Council") a proposed amendment to the Redevelopment Plan (the "Redevelopment Plan Amendment") for the Paso Robles Redevelopment Project (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, on December 7 and December 21, 1999 the City Council and the Agency held a joint public hearing to consider adoption of the Redevelopment Plan Amendment and certification of the Negative Declaration on the Redevelopment Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has provided an opportunity for all persons to be heard and has considered all written comments received and all evidence and testimony presented for or against any and all aspects of the Redevelopment Plan Amendment; and

WHEREAS, Section 33363 of the Community Redevelopment Law provides that, before adopting the Redevelopment Plan Amendment, the City Council shall make written findings in response to each written objection received from an affected taxing entity or property owner received before or at the noticed public hearing;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL PASO DE ROBLES DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council hereby adopts the written findings in response to the written objection received from two property owners set forth in Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 1999, by
the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

Duane Picanco
Mayor

ATTEST:

Sharilyn M. Ryan, Deputy City Clerk

Amendment to Paso Robles Redevelopment Plan

December 21, 1999

Comments / Statements of Opposition Received and Responses Provided

Comment No. 1:

12/7/99

To: P R Redevelopment Agency
From: Steve Martin

I protest the amendment to the City's redevelopment plan extending eminent domain powers. Please extend the public hearing to provide time for public input and clarification of the reasons for the amendment.

Steve Martin

Response:

1. The City Council and Agency public hearing has been continued to December 21, 1999.
2. The basic reasons for extending the powers of Eminent Domain are described as follows:
 - Without the ability to exercise Eminent Domain, the Agency may not be able to assist in property acquisition that is needed to carry out a major economic development effort.
 - Having Eminent Domain will give the Agency greater flexibility in carrying out redevelopment activities in the project area, but only if the Agency determines it is absolutely necessary at the time.

Comment No. 2:

12/8/99

I am against eminent domain by the Redevelopment plan. You shouldn't change what the city council of yester year said "after 12 years this would be."

Sincerely, Tessie Mildred Marty& Lloyd Marty

EXHIBIT "A"



Response:

This statement of opposition is noted for the record. As was discussed at the December 7, 1999 public hearing, the Agency was precluded by State Law from including Eminent Domain powers in the Redevelopment Plan for a period longer than 12 years. The Community Redevelopment law allows this time period to be extended by amending the Redevelopment Plan. The current Amendment process is consistent with State law. Nothing in the original 1987 Redevelopment Plan or in the adoption of amendments to the Plan precludes the extension of Eminent Domain powers as is being contemplated by the Agency and City Council.

Comment No. 3:

December 07, 1999

Re: Eminent Domain Extension; Redevelopment Of Down Town Redevelopment District

My wife and I thoroughly understand the eminent domain issue and its legal use thereof. It is our opinion that a lot of the people against this idea do not understand it entirely. However, because the city ultimately has eminent domain anyway and the past twelve years has shown it to be unnecessary for the redevelopment district to have this power, we are making you aware that we would vote against the extension. Our building is located at 1314 Park Street which places us within the district and therefore, voters. If you have any questions or further information for us, please contact us at my office. Thank you.

Respectfully, Frank D. Stickley, D.C.

Response:

Opposition to the extension is noted for the record. By way of clarification, the City's power of Eminent Domain and the Agency's power of Eminent Domain are different. The City can only utilize Eminent Domain for public purposes that are within its Municipal authority (i.e.: to acquire property for public uses), whereas the Agency's power of Eminent Domain extends to the ability to eliminate blight through property acquisition for the purposes of encouraging economic development. The fact that the Agency has not found it necessary to utilize Eminent Domain during the last twelve years does not negate the potential need for utilizing Eminent Domain during the next twelve year period.

Comment No. 4:

December 20, 1999

Honorable Duane Picanco and Council Members:

Regarding the issue of extending Eminent Domain: I oppose this for the following reasons -

- *There are so many properties not developed that would be available for purchase without causing hardships to properties already developed*
- *Improvements and maintenance will continue to suffer under Eminent Domain. Property owners have a "wait and see" attitude.*
- *Buyers will go elsewhere when considering a purchase. Eminent Domain creates a cloud not acceptable to buyers.*
- *In addition to not receiving full market value in an Eminent Domain sale, businesses also suffer from "good will" losses*

In conclusion, Eminent Domain will create a negative reaction that could polarize the citizens against the City. Please abolish Eminent Domain and involve beautification, historical architectural, and tree committees in the resolution of the most important issue to keep Paso Robles unique and sound.

Most Respectfully Submitted, Madi Gates

Daughter of Margaret Gates, 1545 Park Street, Paso Robles

Response:

Opposition to the extension is noted for the record. Properties are unique in terms of their location and relation to other properties; for this reason, the existence of vacant properties per se does not preclude the potential need for a particular property. Over the past 12 years that the Agency has had the authority for Eminent Domain, there has not been a documented trend toward reduced property maintenance or any apparent change in the pattern of property sales. In fact, there has been a substantial level of re-investment on properties throughout the Redevelopment Project Area, particularly but not exclusively on commercially zoned properties. This is reflected in the fact that the assessed value of properties in the Redevelopment Project Area has increased significantly since the Redevelopment Plan was adopted. In terms of compensation upon acquisition through Eminent Domain, the Redevelopment Agency must follow all statutory procedures to assure just compensation is paid to property owners which may include good will.



Comment No. 5:

December 20, 1999

To the Paso Robles Redevelopment Plan

Mrs. Barraza: I am writing this short letter because what I have to say is that it really is sad that you just come out of no where and try to take our homes from just right under our feet, when we worked so hard to make our homes. And to have our homes where our children were born + raised. What a share for you to do this, because we are 100 percent against it from our bottom of our hearts. Think twice in this redevelopment. Mrs. Barraza P.S. I'm a native of Paso Robles.

Response:

Opposition to the extension is noted for the record. The Agency has no specific plans to acquire property in the Redevelopment Project Area. The Redevelopment Plan contains special provisions that preclude the acquisition of owner-occupied residences within most of the Project Area. If acquisition of a residence were permitted and found to be necessary, the Agency would need to follow statutory procedures.

Comment No. 6:

Please see the attached letter dated December 21, 1999 from Pat Mackie.

Response:

Opposition to the Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan to provide twelve more years of Eminent Domain authority is noted for the record. Elimination of blight and carrying out the goals of the Redevelopment project are the primary focus of the Agency's activities; providing tax benefits is not a goal of the Agency but it may occur as a by-product of an Agency purchase of property from a willing seller. The ability of the Redevelopment Agency to acquire property and to convey property to implement the Redevelopment Plan is provided for in Section 33342 of the State's Health and Safety Code.



Patrick J. (Pat) Mackie

RECEIVED
CITY CLERK

DEC 21 1999

CITY OF PASO ROBLES
4:43 p.m.

**Item 5; City Council Mtg, December 21, 1999
EXTENSION OF EMINENT DOMAIN, REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY**

The title, and maybe the legal standing, of this item are wrong. The Agency's eminent domain authority expired in November. And you can't extend anything that's already dead. We should give it a decent burial and move on.


Assuming that isn't going to happen, here are a couple of other reasons for not going where this is leading us. Staff has used two arguments to move forward with their recommendation. They are:

1. "Friendly condemnation", in other words Eminent Domain, provides tax benefits for the willing seller. Nobody has explained what those benefits are. In any case, condemnation is unnecessary if there is a friendly seller.
2. The second argument states Eminent Domain is needed because, "...the Agency may not be able to assist in property acquisition" for private developments. This is just the latest example of this Council's socialistic willingness to meddle in the marketplace.

The first thing any developer does is find out if there is room for his project. Negotiating with property owners is part of that process. If the property owner fears the Redevelopment Agency will take away his property, he is not left with much room for negotiation.

It is important to recognize that the City has powers of Eminent Domain of its own, quite apart from the Redevelopment Agency. However, those powers can only be used for health and safety reasons.

On the other hand, the Agency is seeking the power to condemn for economic reasons. To my mind, those powers should not be used to take away private property rights.





ORDINANCE NO. _____

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 540 N.S. APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE AMENDMENT TO THE REDEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Paso Robles (the "City Council"), adopted by Ordinance No. 540 N.S. on November 30, 1987, the Redevelopment Plan (the "Redevelopment Plan") for the Paso Robles Redevelopment Project (the "Project"); and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Paso Robles (the "Agency") has been designated as the official redevelopment agency to carry out in the City of Paso Robles the functions and requirements of the Community Redevelopment Law of the State of California (Health and Safety Code Section 33000 *et seq.*) and to implement the Redevelopment Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Agency has proposed an Amendment No. 1 to the Redevelopment Plan for the Redevelopment Project (the "Amendment") to extend for twelve (12) years the power of eminent domain; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of Paso Robles (the "Planning Commission") has reviewed the Amendment and recommended the approval and adoption of the Amendment, together with its certification that the Amendment conforms to the General Plan of the City of Paso Robles; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has received from the Agency the proposed Amendment, together with the Report of the Agency to the Council and the Negative Declaration on the Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the City Council and the Agency held a joint public hearing on December 7, 1999, on the adoption of the Amendment and on approval of the Negative Declaration Amendment, in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California 93446; and

WHEREAS, a notice of said hearing was duly and regularly published in the Telegram Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Paso Robles, once a week for three successive weeks prior to the date of said hearing, and a copy of said notice and affidavit of publication are on file with the City Clerk and the Secretary of the Agency; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of public hearing, together with a statement concerning acquisition of property by the Agency, were mailed by first-class, certified mail with return receipt requested to the last known address of each assessee of each parcel of land in the Project Area, as shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the County of San Luis Obispo; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of public hearing were mailed by first-class, certified mail with return receipt requested to the governing body of each taxing agency which receives taxes from property in the existing Project Area; and



WHEREAS, copies of a statement concerning acquisition of property by the Agency were mailed by first-class, certified mail with return receipt requested to the last known address of each assessee of each parcel of land in the Project Area, as shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the County of San Luis Obispo; and

WHEREAS, copies of the notice of public hearing were mailed by first-class, certified mail with return receipt requested to the residents and businesses within the Project Area; and

WHEREAS, the City Council and the Agency held a continued joint public hearing on December 21, 1999, on the adoption of the Amendment and on approval of the Negative Declaration Amendment, in the City Council Chambers, City Hall, 1000 Spring Street, Paso Robles, California 93446; and

WHEREAS, the Council has considered the Report of the Agency to the Council, the report and recommendation of the Planning Commission, the Amendment, and the Negative Declaration, and provided an opportunity for all persons to be heard, and has received and considered all evidence and testimony presented for or against any and all aspects of the Amendment;

WHEREAS, the Agency and the City Council have reviewed and considered the Negative Declaration, and determined that the Amendment will not have a significant effect on the environment;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES DOES HEREBY ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The purposes and intent of the City Council with respect to the Amendment are to extend the Agency's power to acquire by eminent domain property in the Project Area. The Redevelopment Plan, as originally adopted, provides that the Agency's power to acquire property through the use of eminent domain expires twelve (12) years from the effective date of the Ordinance adopting the Redevelopment Plan. The Amendment will extend the time limit for the Agency to acquire property in the Project Area through the use of eminent domain for an additional twelve (12) year period. Extension of the Agency's power to acquire property by eminent domain will enable the Agency to continue its efforts to implement the existing Redevelopment Plan.

Section 2. The City Council does hereby specifically find and determine that:

a. At the time the Redevelopment Plan was originally adopted, the City Council found and determined that the Project Area is a blighted area, the redevelopment of which is necessary to effectuate the public purposes declared in the Community Redevelopment Law. Many of the blighting conditions that existed at that time still exist within the Project Area. The Amendment will not add additional area to the Project Area, but will merely extend the time limit for the Agency to acquire property in the Project Area through the use of eminent domain to enable the Agency to continue its efforts to implement the existing Redevelopment Plan.



b. The Amendment will enable the Project Area to continue to be redeveloped in conformity with the Community Redevelopment Law and in the interests of the public peace, health, safety and welfare. This finding is based upon the fact that adoption of the Amendment will enable the Agency to continue to implement the goals and objectives of the Community Redevelopment Law by aiding in the elimination and correction of the conditions of blight and deterioration in the Project Area; provide for planning, development, redesign, clearance, reconstruction or rehabilitation of properties which need improvement; providing affordable housing, including housing for low- and moderate-income persons; provide additional employment opportunities, and provide for higher economic utilization of potentially useful land.

c. The adoption and carrying out of the Amendment is economically sound and feasible. This finding is based upon the fact that under the Redevelopment Plan the Agency is authorized to seek and utilize a variety of potential financing resources, including tax increments; that the nature and timing of public redevelopment assistance depends on the amount and availability of such financing resources, including tax increments generated by new investment in the Project Area; and that under the Redevelopment Plan no public redevelopment activities will be undertaken unless the Agency can demonstrate that it has adequate revenue to finance the activity. The Amendment will extend the time limit for the Agency to acquire property in the Project Area through the use of eminent domain for an additional twelve (12) year period. Extension of the Agency's power to acquire property by eminent domain will enable the Agency to continue its efforts to implement the existing Redevelopment Plan.

d. The Amendment is consistent with the General Plan of the City of Paso Robles, including, but not limited to, the housing element, which substantially complies with the requirements of the State housing laws. This finding is based on the report of the Planning Commission that the Amendment conforms to the General Plan of the City of Paso Robles.

e. The carrying out of the Amendment will promote the public peace, health, safety and welfare of the City of Paso Robles and will effectuate the purposes and policies of the Community Redevelopment Law. This finding is based upon the fact that the continued implementation of the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Amendment, will benefit the Project Area by correcting conditions of blight and by coordinating public and private actions to stimulate development and improve the economic, social and physical conditions of the Project Area.

f. The condemnation of real property within the Project Area, as provided for in the Amendment, is necessary to the execution of the Redevelopment Plan, and adequate provisions have been made for the payment for property to be acquired as provided by law. This finding is based upon: (1) the need to ensure that the provisions of the Redevelopment Plan will continue to be carried out; and (2) the need to continue existing efforts to prevent the recurrence of blight; and (3) the fact that the Agency will utilize its authority to acquire property by eminent domain only as a last resort.

g. The Agency has a feasible method and plan for the relocation of families and persons who may be displaced, temporarily or permanently, from housing facilities in the Project Area. This finding is based upon the fact that the existing Redevelopment Plan provides for relocation assistance according to law.

h. There are, or are being provided, within the Project Area or within other areas not generally less desirable with regard to public utilities and public and commercial facilities and at rents or prices within the financial means of the families and persons who might be displaced from the Project Area, decent, safe and sanitary dwellings equal in number to the number of and available to such displaced families and persons and reasonably accessible to their places of employment. This finding is based upon the fact that the existing Redevelopment Plan provides that no person or family will be required to move from any dwelling unit in the Project Area until suitable replacement housing is available.

i. Families and persons shall not be displaced prior to the adoption of a relocation plan pursuant to Sections 33411 and 33411.1 of the Community Redevelopment Law; and dwelling units housing persons and families of low or moderate income within the Project Area shall not be removed or destroyed prior to the adoption of the replacement housing plan pursuant to Sections 33334.5, 33413, and 33413.5. This finding is based upon the fact that the existing Redevelopment Plan provides for a replacement housing plan according to law.

j. The elimination of blight and the redevelopment of the Project Areas could not be reasonably expected to be accomplished by private enterprise acting alone without the aid and assistance of the Agency. This finding is based upon the continued existence of blighting influences, including the lack of adequate public improvements, and the inability of individual developers to economically remove these blighting influences without public assistance to acquire and assemble sites for development, and the provisions of public improvements, facilities and utilities, and the inadequacy of other governmental programs and financing mechanisms to eliminate blight, including the provision of necessary public improvements and facilities.

Section 3. The City Council is satisfied that all written objections received before or at the noticed public hearing have been responded to in writing. In addition, written findings have been adopted in response to each written objection of an affected property owner or taxing entity which has been filed with the City Clerk either before or at the noticed public hearing.

Section 4. The Redevelopment Plan for the Paso Robles Redevelopment Project, as adopted by Ordinance No. 540 N.S., is hereby amended as set forth in the proposed "Amendment No. 1 to the Redevelopment Plan for the Paso Robles Redevelopment Project", incorporated herein and made a part hereof by reference. As so amended, the Redevelopment Plan is hereby incorporated by reference herein and designated as the official Redevelopment Plan for the Paso Robles Redevelopment Project.

The Executive Director of the Agency is hereby authorized to combine the Redevelopment Plan, as amended by this Amendment, into a single document, and



said document, when filed with the City Clerk and the Secretary of the Agency, shall constitute the official Redevelopment Plan in place of the document currently constituting said Redevelopment Plan.

Section 5. The findings and determinations, as identified in Council Resolution No. 99-195, adopted on October 19, 1999, approving and adopting the Negative Declaration on the Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan, are incorporated into this Ordinance by reference and made a part of the Amendment. The Council is satisfied that written findings have been adopted in response to each written objection received from affected taxing entities or property owners either before or at the noticed public hearing. Having considered all evidence and testimony presented for or against any aspect of the Redevelopment Plan Amendment, the Council hereby overrules all written and oral objections to the Redevelopment Plan Amendment.

Section 6. Ordinance No. 540 N.S. is continued in full force and effect as amended by this Ordinance.

Section 7. In order to implement and facilitate the effectuation of the Amendment hereby approved, it may be necessary for the City Council to take certain actions, and accordingly, this City Council hereby (a) pledges its cooperation in helping to carry out the Amendment; (b) requests the various officials, departments, boards and agencies of the City having administrative responsibilities in the Project Area likewise to cooperate to such end and to exercise their respective functions and powers in a manner consistent with the redevelopment of the Project Area pursuant to the Amendment; (c) stands ready to consider and take appropriate action upon proposals and measures designed to effectuate the Amendment; and (d) declares its intention to undertake and complete any proceedings necessary to be carried out by the City under the provisions of the Amendment.

Section 8. The City Clerk is hereby directed to send a certified copy of this Ordinance to the Agency, whereupon the Agency is vested with the responsibility for carrying out the Redevelopment Plan as amended by the Amendment.

Section 9. The City Clerk is hereby directed to record with the County Recorder of the County of San Luis Obispo a notice of the approval and adoption of the Amendment pursuant to this Ordinance containing a statement that proceedings for the redevelopment of the Project Area pursuant to the Amendment have been instituted under the California Community Redevelopment Law.

Section 10. The City Clerk is hereby directed to transmit a copy of this Ordinance Amending the Redevelopment Plan, to the auditor, assessor and tax collector of the County of San Luis Obispo, to the governing body of each of the taxing agencies which levies taxes upon any property in the Project Area and to the State Board of Equalization.

Section 11. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect thirty (30) days after its passage.

Section 12. The City Clerk is hereby ordered and directed to certify to the passage of this Ordinance and to cause the same to be published once in the



Telegram Tribune, a newspaper of general circulation, published and circulated in the City of Paso Robles, California.

Section 13. If any part of this Ordinance, or the Amendment which it approves, is held to be invalid for any reason, such decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portion of this Ordinance or of the Amendment, and this City Council hereby declares that it would have passed the remainder of the Ordinance, or approved the remainder of the Amendment, if such invalid portion thereof had been deleted.

Section 14. The City Clerk is hereby authorized to take any additional actions necessary, as approved by Special Counsel, to implement this Ordinance.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 4th day of January 2000 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

Duane Picanco, Mayor

ATTEST:

Sharilyn M. Ryan, Deputy City Clerk

